



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/542,323 | 07/13/2005 | Frederic Demarne | 1759.204 | 8915 |
| 23405 | 7590 | 06/29/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC | | | MCCORMICK, MELENIE LEE | |
| 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALBANY, NY 12203 | | | 1655 | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 06/29/2007 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/542,323 | DEMARNE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Melenie McCormick | 1655 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher R. Tate.

(3) Charles Bell.

(2) Melenie McCormick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All, in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: All, in general.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim amendments proposed by Applicants' representative including expanding the term "buds" so as to recite --stem buds-- in order to help distinguish the invention over the art of record. The examiners indicated that this newly recited limitation would require additional searching and/or consideration and suggested that an RCE be filed. Applicants' representative stated that support for --stem buds-- is provided in the foreign priority document and, therefore, they plan to also file an amended specification containing this limitation with the RCE.